

DOI: http://doi.org/10.31695/IJASRE.2018.32996

Volume 4, Issue 12 December - 2018

Behavioural Corrosion Mechanism of Hot Worked Mild Carbon Steel Immersed in various Acidic Environments

Atadious David¹, Bankole Williams Jimba¹ and Oyejide Oluwayomi Joel²

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Petroleum Training Institute, Effurun, Nigeria

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, Effurun, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Heat treatment of metals is an important operation in the final fabrication process of many engineering components. Hot working help to improve the mechanical properties of the affected metals. In this research work, behavioural corrosion mechanism of hot worked mild steel carbon immersed in various acidic environments were evaluated mainly to determine the weight loss and corrosion rate of the material. In evaluating the corrosion rate of the mild steel in acidic environment, weight loss approach was adopted. The coupons were measured prior to immersion and different weight measurements were taken at interval of 24 hours, over the period of 168 hours. The difference in weight between the original weight and the final weight at each 24 hour interval (weight loss), was estimated and used for the calculation of the corrosion rates. The results obtained reveal an increased in weight loss as concentration of acids increases and this resulted to increase in corrosion rate as experienced by coupons immersed in five samples of acid environment used in this research work. Besides, nitric acid proved to be more aggressive, and this was followed by hydrochloric acid, tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid, phosphoric acid, and acetic acid was the least. Therefore, if acid must be used with mild steel, nitric acid should not be used.

Key Words: Hot Work, Mild Carbon Steel, Weight Loss, Corrosion Rate, Acidic Environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mild carbon steels account for a large proportion of the total output of steel [1-2]. Mild carbon steel is a vital alloy used in petroleum and petrochemical industries and it account for over 98% of the construction materials [3]. The wide application of mild carbon steel range from chemical, oil gas storage tanks and transportation pipelines is due to its moderate strength, good weld-ability and formability [4]. Deterioration of materials produced from mild carbon steel as a result of corrosion has come to be accepted worldwide as an unavoidable fact of life. The present degradation of infrastructures particularly in acidic medium has continued to generate a lot of worries to researchers across the world in view to procure lasting solution to the problem. Corrosion remains one of the most severe limitations to the use of mild carbon steel in the chemical, petrochemical industries construction, and manufacturing.

Corrosion is the damage of material due to chemical reaction of the material with its environment. Usually, this destruction takes place on its surface in the form of material dissolution or redeposit ion in some other forms. Mild carbon steel just like every other metals and alloys are generally prone to corrosion because most of them occur naturally as ores, which is the most stable state of low energy and there is a net decrease in free energy, (ΔG) from metallic to oxidized state [5]. The corrosion of mild carbon steel in natural environments such as acidic medium is of practical importance. Generally, the chemistry of corrosion processes is shown in equation (1), and equation (2) [6].

Fe (s)	• $Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-}$ (Material Dissociation)	(1)
--------	--	-----

 $O_2 + 4H_2O + 4e$ - $4OH^-$ (Oxygen Dissociation)

The corrosion characteristic of mild carbon steel in acidic medium is the formation and growth of compact and thick layers composed of oxides, insoluble salts and organic materials [7]. The exchange of various species (ions, molecules, gas) between

(2)

acidic ion and the rust layers or the metal depends both on the kinetics of the Faradaic reactions of the entities with either the oxides or the metal, as well as on their transport properties through the different strata of the rust layers [8-9]. Corrosion is a complex electrochemical process involving the interaction between metallic materials and their environment, which produces corrosion products and leads to the degradation of the materials [10]. Various factors affect the corrosion process, such as the type of material, design, water vapour, anions in the environment, such as sulphate, chloride and carbonate. It is the deterioration of material and loss of its properties as a result of reactions and interactions with its environment. Materials corrode at various rates and the extent of corrosion damage depends on interrelated variables involved [11]. Materials often have a natural tendency to combine with other chemical elements to return to their lowest energy state. In order to return to lower energy states, materials frequently combine with oxygen and hydrogen ion, both of which are present in most natural environments, to form hydrated oxides and in the case of iron, the iron oxide is refers to as rust.

Heat treatment is a heating and cooling operation applied to metals and alloys in solid state to impact desirable properties to the metal or alloy. Heat treatment of metals is an important operation in the final fabrication process of many engineering components. Heat treatment is of various forms which include annealing, normalizing, tempering, hardening and isothermal operations [12-13]. Heat treatment improves the microstructure of the metal, and this is what gives the metal desired properties for different service conditions. Hot work is the process in which the metal is subjected to forces above recrystallization temperature to give it a desired shape. Above recrystallization temperature, the metal becomes plastic and causing growth in grains. By hot working the grains broke up and forms small new crystals which is refinement of grains. The various methods of hot working are as same as cold working. Hot working is a high temperature thermo mechanical treatment consisting of deforming a metal above its transformation temperature and cooling at a rate to preserve same or all of the deformed structure. It is an activity performed on metals at a temperature high enough to permit recrystallization and strain hardening does not occur. The ingots are preheated in soaking – pits or furnaces in other to bring them to the required temperature which is somewhere in the region between 100-200°c below the melting point. So at such temperature the metal is much more plastic and requires smaller deformation forces than would be necessary at low temperature. The coarse, as-cast structure of the work piece or ingot, would be broken up and distorted during the first stages of hot deformation, however, almost immediately, recrystallization can be carried out. Therefore, a sequence of rolling passes or forging bellows will generally be planned so that final deformation takes place at the temperature close to recrystallization temperature in order without excessive grain growth occurring. Considering the usefulness of hot work on mild carbon steel mainly to improve its mechanical properties and the effect of corrosion on it, it became necessary to study and emulate the effect of hot work and corrosion of mild carbon steel in acidic medium.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials Used

The mild steel used was obtained from Vinupet Steel Company, Warri, Delta State, Nigeria. The elemental composition percentage was carried out at Delta State Company Limited, Ovian-Aladja, Delta State, Nigeria.

2.2 Experiment Overview

The experiment involved the use of 25 pieces of hot worked mild carbon steel coupons in studying their corrosion rate when immersed in various environment of acidic solution: the various acids used include; hydrochloric acid (HCl) of molar mass 36.5g, percentage purity 36%, specific gravity 1.17, and relative density of 1.17g/ml or 1170g/l, nitric acid (HNO₃) of molar mass of 63.01g/mol, percentage purity 68%, specific gravity 1.42 and relative density 1420g/l, acetic acid (CH₃COOH) of molar mass 60.05g/mol, percentage purity 99.7%, specific gravity 1.04, relative density 1040g/l, phosphoric acid (H₃SO₄) of molar mass of 98.0g, percentage purity 85%, specific gravity 1.685g/mol or 1685g/l and hydrogen tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid (H₂SO₄) of molar mass 98g/mol, percentage purity 98%, specific gravity 1.305, relative density 1830.5g/l, with different concentration varying from 0.5 to 2.5 mole per dm³. The method employed for this research was simply the weight loss method, which involve the difference in weight of the coupon before and after exposure to the various corrosion medium at a given time interval and at room temperature. Different tags were used to ensure that the experiment was not mixed-up.

2.3 pH Meter

This enables the acidity and the basicity of the solution to be known.

2.4 Mettler PM II Sensitive Balance

It was used to measure the variation in weight of the coupon at different stages (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Weight Loss Measurement

2.5 Preparation of Standard Corrosion Solution

The various stock solution used for the experiment were prepared using Equation (3) to Equation (5).	
Amount of stock solution in g/dm^3 = percentage purity x relative density/100	(3)
Number of moles = mass of substance in g per $dm^3/molar$ mass in g per	(4)

After knowing the concentration of the stock solution, the volume of the stock solution required to prepare each of the environment was calculated using the dilution formula as shown in Equation (3). $V_1M_1 = V_2M_2$

(5)

 M_1 = Concentration of stock solution

where,

- V_1 = Volume of stock solution required to make environment.
- M_2 = Concentration of environment to be prepared.
- $V_2 =$ Volume of environment to be prepared

For HCl acid Molar mass of HCl = 36.5gPercentage purity = 36%Specific gravity =1.17 Relative density = 1.17g/ml or 1170g/L

To calculate the amount of the stock solution: Percentage purity x relative density = $36/100 \times 1170 = 421.2g/l$ Amount of stock in mol./ $dm^3 = 11.54$ MHCL Thus, 36% of hydrochloric acid contains 11.54M of undiluted HCl For concentration of 0.5M HCl using the dilution formula $V_1 = M_2 V_2 / M_1$ $M_1 = 11.53$ $M_2 = 0.5$ $V_2 = 200 ml$ $V_1^- = ?$ Hence, $V_1 = 0.5 x 200/11.53 = 8.67 ml$ Then, Volume of distilled water, 200ml - 8.67ml = 191.33ml

Thus, 8.67ml of concentrated HCl acid was dissolved into 19.33ml of distilled water to give an environment of 200ml to 0.5M. Same procedures were adopted for all reagents used. Table1 shows the volume of the stock solution (acid) required to prepare each concentration of the different environment.

Tabl	e1. Volume of the Stock Solut	ion (Acid) req	uired for each	Concentration of	f the different Environ	ment

Concentration (M)	Volume of Acids ml					
	H ₂ SO ₄	HCl	HNO ₃	CH ₃ COOH	H ₃ PO ₄	
0.5	8.67	6.53	5.79	6.84	5.46	
1.0	17.35	13.05	11.58	13.69	10.92	
1.5	26.02	19.58	17.37	20.53	16.38	
2.0	34.69	26.11	23.16	27.38	21.85	
2.5	43.37	32.64	28.95	34.22	37.31	



DOI: http://doi.org/10.31695/IJASRE.2018.32996

Volume 4, Issue 12 December - 2018

2.6 Experimental Procedures

Ffifty (50) sets of clean beakers were tagged with the name of the various acids, with HW, denoting hot worked specimen. Each of the five (5) corrosion environment having ten (10) beakers each with various concentration ranging from 0.5M to 2.5M. Prior to immersion, the approximate pH value of each solution was taken using a pH meter, and the value obtained recorded. The specimens were properly washed with distilled water, dried with clean piece of cloth, and then the initial weight was taken, using the Meter PM II Sensitive Digital weigh balance, and then recorded. Total immersion in 200ml test solution of the specimens into the various environment, was aided with the use of a nylon rope tied to a clean to a clean flattened steel rod of about 3mm diameter, placed on top of each beaker, for support. The experimental setup is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Experimental Setup

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The carbon mild steel material elemental composition from the spark test analysis result is as follow; 0.15%C, 0.22% Si, 0.5% Mn, 0.66%P, 0.057%S, 0.02%Mo, 0.25% Cr, 0.1%Ni, 0.26%0.009%V, 0.001%Al, 0.021%Sn, 0.001%Ti and 98.34%Fe. Table 2 Table 6 shows the weight in grams (g) obtained from the measurement taken at twenty four (24) hours intervals.

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)				
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M
Wi	18.3262	18.2074	18.2683	17.9454	18.3917
24hrs.	18.2146	18.0759	18.0480	17.8351	17.9327
48hrs.	18.1267	17.9470	17.9656	17.7248	17.3978
72hrs.	18.0422	17.8180	17.7832	17.3390	17.0230
96hrs.	17.9814	17.6021	17.4813	17.2143	16.9565
120hrs.	17.7769	17.4427	17.2143	16.5377	16.5980
144hrs.	17.6700	17.1719	16.9143	16.3188	15.4899
168hrs.	17.5615	17.0010	16.8315	16.1215	15.4009

Table2	Weight (g) a	f Hot Worked	Coupons in H	vdrochloric Acid	(HCl) Environment	
I abic ₄ .	weight (g) 0		Coupons in m	yurutinorit Atlu	(IICI) Environment	

Table3. Weight (g) of Hot Worked Coupons in Ni	itric Acid (HNO ₃) Environment Weight
--	---

Time (hrs.)		Concentrations (M)				
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M	
Wi	18.0997	18.4112	18.2103	18.1467	18.2078	
24hrs.	17.0409	15.3155	11.9924	11.2612	9.9744	
48hrs.	15.9758	15.1864	11.5498	10.7876	9.5696	
72hrs.	15.7106	14.0378	11.2072	10.1139	9.0648	
96hrs.	15.5116	14.0378	11.0880	9.40720	8.2307	
120hrs.	15.5116	14.0378	10.7139	8.98720	9.5109	
144hrs.	15.4106	13.6888	10.4199	7.16180	7.4966	
168hrs.	15.3458	13.3345	9.92580	8.26710	6.5577	

www.ijasre.net

Time (hrs.)		Concentrations (M)				
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M	
Wi	17.9890	17.8517	18.1358	18.4135	18.3773	
24hrs.	17.9367	17.7986	18.0745	18.3397	18.3773	
48hrs.	17.9195	17.7754	18.0509	18.3076	18.5298	
72hrs.	17.9023	17.7521	18.0172	18.2855	18.2376	
96hrs.	17.7415	17.9880	17.9562	18.2360	18.1839	
120hrs.	17.8664	17.7190	17.9465	18.2253	18.1648	
144hrs.	17.8452	17.6856	17.9308	18.2117	18.1389	
168hrs.	17.8240	17.6722	17.1193	18.1980	18.1229	

Table4. Weight (g) of Hot Worked Coupons in Acetic Acid (CH₃COOH) Environment Weight

Table5.	Weight	(g) of Hot	Worked	Coupons in	phosphoric	e Acid (H ₃ PO	4) Environment
---------	--------	------------	--------	------------	------------	---------------------------	----------------

Time (hrs.)		Concentrations (M)					
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
Wi	18.3528	17.9893	18.1183	18.6374	18.4238		
24hrs.	18.2632	17.8797	17.9936	18.4831	18.2468		
48hrs.	18.2262	17.8567	17.9748	18.4758	18.2273		
72hrs.	18.1891	17.8136	17.9359	18.4284	18.1977		
96hrs.	18.1385	17.7588	17.8717	18.3772	18.1468		
120hrs.	18.0774	17.6908	17.7754	18.2765	18.0484		
144hrs.	18.0256	17.6413	17.7414	18.2392	17.9990		
168hrs.	17.9737	17.5918	17.7074	18.1918	17.7995		

Table6. Weight (g) of Hot Worked Coupons in Hydroge	n Tetraoxosulphate (VI) Acid (H ₂ SO ₄₎ Environment
---	---

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)						
	0.5M	1.0M	2.0M	2.5M			
Wi	18.2418	18.1092	18.3087	18.0717	17.8058		
24hrs.	18.1482	17.9533	18.1209	17.9264	17.6050		
48hrs.	18.0726	17.8540	18.0127	17.7463	17.4409		
72hrs.	18.0069	17.7946	17.8624	17.6862	17.2167		
96hrs.	17.9063	17.6998	17.7342	17.2557	16.3842		
120hrs.	17.8164	17.6134	17.6254	17.2557	16.0350		
144hrs.	17.6915	17.4926	17.3765	16.7995	15.6858		
168hrs.	17.4218	17.4058	17.3654	16.5653	15.6858		

The measured weight depicted in Tables 2 to Table 6 shown the weight loss of coupons as calculated which is the difference between the initial weight before immersion and the final weight after immersion at a given time. From the normal weight obtained, the normalized table was formed as shown in Table 7-Table 11, the normalized is the ratio of the weight at a particular time to the original weight.

Table7. Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in HCl Environment

Time (hrs.)	Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in HCl Environment						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.9940	0.9928	0.9879	0.9843	0.9750		
48hrs.	0.9891	0.9895	0.9834	0.9782	0.9632		
72hrs.	0.9845	0.9786	0.9734	0.9722	0.9460		
96hrs.	0.9812	0.9668	0.9569	0.9510	0.9256		
120hrs.	0.9700	0.9580	0.9423	0.9300	0.9083		
144hrs.	0.9642	0.9431	0.9259	0.9070	0.8862		
168hrs.	0.9583	0.9337	0.9214	0.8950	0.8422		



DOI: http://doi.org/10.31695/IJASRE.2018.32996

Volume 4, Issue 12 December - 2018

Table8. Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in HNO3 Environment

Time (hrs.)	Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in HNO ₃								
		Environment							
	0.5M	1.0M	1.0M 1.5M 2.0M 2.5M						
24hrs.	0.9415	0.8318	0.6586	0.6206	0.5478				
48hrs.	0.8827	0.8248	0.6342	0.5945	0.5256				
72hrs.	0.8680	0.7635	0.6154	0.5573	0.4979				
96hrs.	0.8570	0.7624	0.6089	0.5241	0.4520				
120hrs.	0.8550	0.7573	0.5883	0.5184	0.4117				
144hrs.	0.8514	0.7435	0.5722	0.4953	0.3933				
168hrs.	0.8478	0.7242	0.5451	0.4556	0.3602				

Table9. Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in CH₃COOH Environment

Time (hrs.)	Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in CH ₃ COOH Environment						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.9971	0.9970	0.9966	0.996	0.9949		
48hrs.	0.9961	0.9957	0.9953	0.9942	0.9936		
72hrs.	0.9957	0.9944	0.9935	0.9930	0.9924		
96hrs.	0.9952	0.9938	0.9919	0.9904	0.9895		
120hrs.	0.9940	0.9926	0.9901	0.9898	0.9884		
144hrs.	0.9932	0.9907	0.9896	0.9890	0.9870		
168hrs.	0.9908	0.9899	0.9887	0.9883	0.9862		

Table10. Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in H₃PO₄ Environment

Time	Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in H ₃ PO ₄								
(hrs.)		Environment							
	0.5M	0.5M 1.0M 1.5M 2.0M 2.5M							
24hrs.	0.9951	0.9939	0.9931	0.9917	0.9904				
48hrs.	0.9931	0.9926	0.9921	0.9913	0.9893				
72hrs.	0.9911	0.9902	0.9899	0.9888	0.9877				
96hrs.	0.9883	0.9872	0.9864	0.9860	0.9850				
120hrs.	0.985	0.9834	0.9811	0.9806	0.9796				
144hrs.	0.9822	0.9807	0.9792	0.9786	0.9769				
168hrs.	0.9793	0.9779	0.9773	0.9786	0.9661				

Table11. Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in H₂SO₄ Environment

Time (hrs.)	Normalized Values for Hot Worked Coupons in H ₂ SO ₄ Environment						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.9949	0.9914	0.9898	0.9920	0.9887		
48hrs.	0.9795	0.9907	0.9859	0.9838	0.9821		
72hrs.	0.9871	0.9826	0.9801	0.9787	0.9669		
96hrs.	0.9816	0.9774	0.9756	0.9675	0.9433		
120hrs.	0.9767	0.9726	0.9686	0.9548	0.9202		
144hrs.	0.9698	0.9660	0.9627	0.9422	0.9005		
168hrs.	0.9646	0.9593	0.9491	0.9296	0.8809		

Tables 12 to Table 16 represent the weight loss for the various environments. The total surface area of the coupon was calculated using the formula stated below owing to its geometry as shown below; A = 2(LW + Wt + Lt)(6)

where,

www.ijasre.net

Page 36

A = Total surface area of the coupon, L = Length of Coupon W = Width of coupon t = Thickness of coupon, and The coupons used for the research were of the following dimension: L=50 millimeter (mm) = 1.960 inches (in) W = 19.5 mm = 0.768 inT = 2.5 mm = 0.098 inTherefore; $A = 2[(1.969 \times 0.768) + (0.768 \times 0.098) + (1.969 \times 0.098)] = 3.561$ sq. inch Density of mild steel = $7.85g/cm_3$ The corrosion rate was calculated using Equation (7) $CR = \frac{87.6W_l}{2}$ DAT where, CR = Corrosion Rate (mm/y); Wl = Weight loss (mg); D = Density of Low Carbon Steel = 7.85g/cm3; A = Area

(7)

Table12. Weight (mg) Loss of Hot Worked Coupons in HCl Environ	nment
--	-------

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.1098	0.1315	0.2203	0.2871	0.2998		
48hrs.	0.1995	0.2604	0.3027	0.3974	0.5172		
72hrs.	0.2840	0.3894	0.4851	0.5077	0.8347		
96hrs.	0.3448	0.6530	0.7870	0.8935	1.2095		
120hrs.	0.7647	1.0540	1.2760	1.5264	1.6435		
144hrs.	0.6554	1.0355	1.3915	1.6948	1.9345		
168hrs.	0.7643	1.2064	1.4368	1.937	2.7426		

Table13. Weight (mg) Loss of Hot Worked Coupons in HNO3 Environment

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)					
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M	
24hrs.	1.0588	3.0967	6.2179	6.8855	8.2334	
48hrs.	3.2258	6.6605	7.3591	8.6382	8.8878	
72hrs.	2.3891	4.3550	7.0031	8.0328	9.1430	
96hrs.	2.5581	4.3744	7.1223	8.6358	9.9711	
120hrs.	2.6244	4.4691	7.4964	8.7395	10.711	
144hrs.	2.6891	4.7234	7.1904	9.1595	11.046	
168hrs.	2.7539	5.0777	8.2845	9.8796	11.650	

Table14. Weight (mg) Loss of Hot Worked Coupons in CH₃COOH Environment

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.0523	0.0531	0.0613	0.0738	0.0944		
48hrs.	0.0695	0.0763	0.0849	0.1059	0.1175		
72hrs.	0.1078	0.1102	0.1478	0.1775	0.1934		
96hrs.	0.0867	0.0996	0.1186	0.1280	0.1397		
120hrs.	0.1226	0.1327	0.1796	0.1882	0.2125		
144hrs.	0.1438	0.1661	0.1993	0.2018	0.2384		
168hrs.	0.1650	0.1795	0.2050	0.2115	0.2544		

Table15. Weight (mg) Loss of Hot Worked Coupons in H₃PO₄ Environment

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.0896	0.1096	0.1247	0.1543	0.1773		
48hrs.	0.1266	0.1326	0.1435	0.1616	0.2261		
72hrs.	0.1637	0.1757	0.1824	0.209	0.2261		
96hrs.	0.2143	0.2305	0.2466	0.2602	0.2770		
120hrs.	0.2754	0.2985	0.3429	0.3609	0.3754		
144hrs.	0.3272	0.3480	0.3769	0.3983	0.4248		
168hrs.	0.3272	0.3975	0.4109	0.4456	0.6243		

www.ijasre.net

T = Exposure time (hrs.)

Time (hrs.)	Concentrations (M)						
	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	2.0M	2.5M		
24hrs.	0.0936	0.1559	0.1878	0.1953	0.2008		
48hrs.	0.1692	0.2552	0.2760	0.3254	0.3648		
72hrs.	0.2349	0.3146	0.3643	0.3855	0.5891		
96hrs.	0.3355	0.4094	0.4463	0.5873	0.0087		
120hrs.	0.4254	0.4958	0.5745	0.8160	0.4216		
144hrs.	0.5503	0.6166	0.0833	0.0441	1.7708		
168hrs.	0.6452	0.7375	0.9322	1.2772	2.1200		

Table16. Weight (mg) Loss of Hot Worked Coupons in H₂SO₄ Environment

Table 17 shows the summary of the corrosion rate of hot worked coupons.

Time (hrs.)					
	HCl	HNO ₃	CH ₃ COOH	H ₃ PO ₄	H_2SO_4
24hrs.	238.6	6553.4	75.1	140.9	159.8
48hrs.	205.8	3437.8	46.8	78.2	145.2
72hrs.	221.5	2425.8	37.1	60.0	156.3
96hrs.	240.8	1985.3	38.5	55.1	200.7
120hrs.	243.0	1705.1	33.8	59.8	226.3
144hrs.	230.1	1465.4	31.6	56.4	234.9
168hrs.	311.9	1324.7	28.9	70.9	241.1

From Table 12-Table 16, the calculated weight losses obtained for hot worked coupons increases with increasing acid concentration for all five acids. This was equally reflected in the normalized results (Table 7-Table 11), indicating accelerated behaviour for the metal dissolution. This result is expected because, with increasing acid concentration, both acidity and CI⁻ ion concentration will also increase. Also, there was increased in weight loss as concentration of used acids increases and this resulted to increase in corrosion rate as experienced by coupons immersed in five samples of acid environment used in this research work. Comparing the corrosion rate in the different acidic environment shown that nitric acid proved to be more aggressive, and this was followed by hydrochloric acid, tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid, phosphoric acid, and acetic acid was the least. Considering the corrosion rate with time, the corrosion rate for nitric acid decreases with time, while that of the other four acids show a trend of decreasing and increasing corrosion rate. This can be attributed to environmental factors such as, fluctuation in temperature with time.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this research work, behavioural corrosion mechanism of hot worked mild carbon steel immersed in various acidic environments was investigated to ascertain the corrosiveness of the acid used and it effect on mild steel. The outcome of the research work revealed that corrosion rates increased with increased in acid concentration and with nitric acid (HNO_3) prove to be a more corrosive among the five acids considered in this research work. This was followed by hydrochloric acid (HCl), hydrogen tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid (H_2SO_4) and phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4). However, acetic acid (CH_3COOH) proved to be the least corrosive acid. Visual inspection of the coupons after immersion in the various acidic solution environments of different concentrations showed general and pitting corrosion, and the latter became more pronounced at higher level of the various acidic solution environment concentrations.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Onyekpe, "The Essentials of Metallurgy and Materials in Engineering", Ambik Press, 2002, Nigeria
- [2] E.K. Orhorhoro, A.A. Erameh, and A.C. Adingwupu, "Evaluation of the Effect of Tempering on the Corrosion Susceptibility of Low Carbon steel in Sea Water", *Nigerian Research Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences* 3(1) 2018 pp. 409-415
- [3] L.G. Abdulkhaleq, "The Inhibitive Effect of Eucalyptus Camaldulenis Leaves Extract on the Corrosion of low Carbon Steel in Hydrochloric Acid". Journal of Engineering and Development, 17(3), 2013, pp.155-169

www.ijasre.net

- [4] A.S. Afolabi, "Corrosion and Stress Corrosion Behavior of Low and Medium Carbon Steel in Agro-Fluid Media. Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies", 10, pp.55-66
- [5] A.N. Enteram, and H.A. Aisha, "Corrosion Behavior of Mild Steel in Hydrochloric Acid Solutions, 2008, retrieved August 18, 2011, from, www.electrochemsci.org
- [6] A. Mathina, and Rajalashmi, "Corrosion inhibition of mild steel in acid medium using canna indica as green corrosion inhibitor", RASĀYAN Journal of Chemistry 9(1), 2016, pp.56-66
- [7] A. Emad, B. Bruce, and N. Srdjan, "The influence of mild steel metallurgy on the initiation of localized CO2 corrosion in flowing condition", NACE International Conference and Expo Corrosion, 2013, pp. 1-16
- [8] A.V. Adedayo, S.A. Ibitoye, and O.A. Oyetoyan, "An-nealing Heat Treatment Effects on Steel Welds," Journal of Minerals and

Materials Characterization and Engi-neering, 9(6), 2010, pp. 547-557

- [9] F.O. Aramide, E.O. Olorunniwo, P.O. Atanda, and J.O. Borode, "Corrosion Characteristics of As-Cast Ductile Iron in Lime Juice," Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering, 9(10), pp. 867-877
- [10] U.M. Kamachi, "Corrosion Science and Technology: Mechanism, Mitigation and Monitoring, Mumbai", narosa publishing house
- [11] D.W. Callister, "Materials Science and Engineering": An Introduction, 7th Edition, John Wiley and Sons Incorporation, UK
- [12] O.O. Daramola, B.O. Adewuyi, I.O. Oladele, "Cor-rosion Behaviour of Heat Treated Rolled Medium Carbon Steel in Marine Environment," Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering, 10(10), 2011, pp. 888-903
- [13] J.O. Oyejide, E.K. Orhorhoro, N.A. Ogie, and U.S. Idi, "Investigation of the Effect of Annealing on the Corrosion Resistance of Medium Carbon Steel in Sea Water" *Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 8(5), 2017, pp. 219-224